

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/674,311	09/30/2003	Kim Louis Walton	DW0078USNA	5253	
24199	7590 11/02/2006	11/02/2006		EXAMINER	
DUPONT PERFORMANCE ELASTOMERS L.L.C. PATENT RECORDS CENTER			MULCAHY, PETER D		
	ORDS CENTER STER PIKE, BARLEY MII	LL PLAZA P25	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WILMINGTON, DE 19805			1713		
			DATE MAILED: 11/02/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A multi At At				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/674,311	WALTON ET AL.			
ome Action Cammary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Peter D. Mulcahy	1713			
Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	ur the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT	CATION. Eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication.			
Status		·			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	1 August 2006.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-14 and 17-27 is/are pending in the day Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-14 and 17-27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cort 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to be the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rection is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a light	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview St	ummary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		/Mail Date formal Patent Application 			

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-22 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 19-40 of copending Application No. 10/915,011. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instantly claimed elastomeric olefin, propylene polymer, peroxide and coagent are set forth in the copending claims and the claimed properties overlap in scope.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 1-22 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7 and 1-13 of U.S. Patent No. 6,774,186 and 6,548,600 respectively. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instantly claimed

Art Unit: 1713

elastomeric olefin, propylene polymer, peroxide and coagent are set forth in the copending claims and the claimed properties overlap in scope.

The terminal disclaimers filed 1/30/06 have been reviewed and have been disapproved. The attorney who signed the disclaimers is not of record in the instant case.

Applicants argue that the "Notice of Customer Number Record Change" identifies Mr. Ashburg by registration number. This is not sufficient. The ADS lists one attorney whom is not Mr. Ashburg. The disclaimer needs to be resubmitted with the language "I am empowered to act on behalf of..." No fee required.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter D. Mulcahy whose telephone number is 571-272-1107. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on 571-272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1713

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Peter D. Mulcany Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1713

10/25/06